Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, DC 20554

FCC 14M-41 10190

In the Matter of)	EB Docket No. 11-71
MARITIME COMMUNICATIONS/LAND MOBILE, LLC)	File No. EB-09-1H-1751 FRN: 0013587779
Participant in Auction No. 61 and Licensee of Various Authorizations in the Wireless Radio Services)))	A
Applicant for Modification of Various Authorizations in the Wireless Radio Services)))	Application File Nos. 0004030479, 0004193028, 0004193328, 0004354053, 0004309872, 0004310060, 0004314903,
Applicant with ENCANA OIL AND GAS (USA), INC.; DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY, DCP)	0004315013, 0004430505, 0004417199, 0004419431,
MIDSTREAM, LP; JACKSON COUNTY RURAL MEMBERSHIP ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE; PUGET SOUND ENERGY,)	0004422320, 0004422329, 0004507921, 0004526264, and 0004604962
INC.; ENBRIDGE ENERGY COMPANY, INC.; INTERSTATE POWER AND LIGHT))	MA
COMPANY; WISCONSIN POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY; DIXIE ELECTRIC)	
MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION, INC.; AND ATLAS PIPELINE-MID CONTINENT, LLC))	
For Commission Consent to the Assignment of Various Authorizations in the Wireless Radio Service)))	

ORDER

Issued: December 4, 2014 Released: December 4, 2014

On November 28, 2014, Environmental LLC and Verde Systems LLC, joined by Warren Havens (collectively "EVH"), filed a Motion for Reconsideration. The Motion seeks reconsideration of the Presiding Judge's *Order*, FCC 14M-36, released on November 24, 2014. That *Order* unequivocally ruled:

Relying on discretion under the Commission's rules, the Presiding Judge intends to avail himself of facts compiled and circumstances attendant to the hearing before ruling on the Motion. Accordingly, any final decision regarding summary disposition of Issue G will be deferred. The Motion will not be considered any further at this time. Cross motions or countermotions for summary decision will not be entertained.¹

However, the Commission's rules do not authorize the filing of a motion for reconsideration of a Presiding Judge's interlocutory ruling. The Commission deleted its rule authorizing such filings more than four decades ago.² Because the Motion for Reconsideration is unauthorized under the rules, it will be struck. This ruling is fortified by Section 1.291(c)(3) of the Commission's rules, which provides that petitions requesting reconsideration of an interlocutory ruling made by the Chief Administrative Law Judge will not be entertained.³

Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that there will be no further consideration of *Order*, FCC 14M-36.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the EVH Motion for Reconsideration, as well as the buttressing Warren Havens Supplement to Motion for Reconsideration of EVH that was filed on December 2, 2014, ARE STRUCK in their entirety.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION⁴

Richard L. Sippel Chief Administrative Law Judge

Richard L. Sympel

¹ Order, FCC 14M-36 at 2 (rel. Nov. 24, 2014) (footnotes omitted).

² Amendment of Parts 0 and 1 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, Report and Order, 26 FCC.2d 331, 333 (1970)

³ 47 C.F.R. § 1.291(c)(3).

⁴ Courtesy copies sent to all counsel and to Mr. Warren Havens by e-mail upon issuance of this *Order*.